UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,590	07/30/2007	Richard C. Ebersole	CL2272USPCT	8973
	7590 04/21/200 DE NEMOURS AND (EXAMINER	
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER			CHEN, STACY BROWN	
	BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1122B 4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19805		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILMINGTON			1648	
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/21/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-Legal.PRC@usa.dupont.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Comments		10/538,590	EBERSOLE ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Stacy B. Chen	1648		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Fe	ebruary 2009.			
'=	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.			
′=	· 				
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
			3 G. 3 . 2 . 6.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-8 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 June 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Application/Control Number: 10/538,590 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment and remarks filed February 18, 2009 are acknowledged and entered. Claims 1-8 remain pending and under examination.

Response to Amendment

2. The objection to claims 5-8 for improper Markush group language is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment.

Specification

3. The specification remains objected to because it fails to reference that is a national stage application of PCT/US03/41808, filed December 19, 2003. Applicant did not address this objection in the response filed February 18, 2009. Correction is required.

Claims Summary and Interpretation

4. Note that the subject matter of claim 4, "consisting essentially of SEQ ID NO: 16", for example, is interpreted by the Office to read on any polynucleotide that contains SEQ ID NO: 16, that is capable of being used as a primer for nucleic acid amplification for detection of FMDV. The specification does not define what is excluded by "consisting essentially of" in terms of the contents of the sequences, so the Office has interpreted the claims as "comprising SEQ ID NO: 16". There are no length limitations on the polynucleotide sequence of claim 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,590

Art Unit: 1648

Page 3

Claim 4 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beard et al. (Journal of Virology, 2000, 74(2):987-991, "Beard"). Beard's disclosure of the entire genome of the type O FMDV anticipates the instantly claimed polynucleotide because the genome of type O FMDV naturally contains SEQ ID NO: 16-20 (see instant specification, page 11, lines 11-13, and Table 1 on page 12).

Chapter 2111.03 of the MPEP [R-3] provides guidance on the use of transitional phrases "comprising", "consisting essentially of" and "consisting of" as they define the scope of a claim with respect to what unrecited additional components or steps, if any, are excluded from the scope of the claim. The transitional term "comprising", which is synonymous with "including," "containing," or "characterized by," is inclusive or open-ended and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements or method steps. The transitional phrase "consisting of" excludes any element, step, or ingredient not specified in the claim. The transitional phrase "consisting essentially of" limits the scope of a claim to the specified materials or steps "and those that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristic(s)" of the claimed invention. For the purposes of searching for and applying prior art under 35 USC 102 and 103, absent a clear indication in the specification or claims of what the basic and novel characteristics actually are, "consisting essentially of" will be construed as equivalent to "comprising."

MPEP 2163 (II, A, (1)) states that if an applicant contends that additional steps or materials in the prior art are excluded by the recitation of "consisting essentially of," applicant has the burden of showing that the introduction of additional steps or components would materially change the characteristics of applicant's invention.

Applicant argues that it is art recognized that primers directed to nucleic acid amplification would be intolerant to substantial changes. In response to this argument, the Office does not find that Applicant has defined what "substantial changes" to the sequences would encompass, as opposed to insubstantial changes. The specification does not define what is excluded by the use of the term "consisting essentially of", as discussed above. Given that the sequences of the prior art and the instant sequences are identical, it is not clear how the detection would be any different. Further, the scope of what Applicant intends to include or exclude is not set forth in the specification. One would not be able to know with any degree of certainty what changes are substantial or not, such that its function of detecting FMDV would be rendered useless.

In view of the above teachings in the MPEP, note that the amendment of the claims to "consisting essentially of" fails to overcome the art rejection because the claim is still interpreted as "comprising". The isolated nucleotide disclosed by Beard is capable of use in the detection of FMDV because the claim is not limited to any particular detection method that excludes the possibility of using the full length genome of type O FMDV. Amendment of claim 4 to recite, "consisting of SEQ ID NO: 16", for example, would overcome this rejection.

Conclusion

6. Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are allowable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/538,590 Page 5

Art Unit: 1648

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacy B. Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-0896. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30), alternate Fridays off,. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

/Stacy B Chen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1648